

Generalised Moonshine

arXiv: 1208.6254
Scott Carnahan
→ not my work

(1)

Reminder: Monstrous Moonshine

• (1978) John McKay: $j(\tau) \stackrel{-744}{=} \frac{1}{q} + 0 + \underbrace{196884}_= 196883+1 q + \underbrace{21493760}_= 21296876 + 196883 + 1 q^2 + \dots$

↳ The coeff. of the j -function are linear combinations of the dims of the irreps of the Monster group M with "small" non-negative coefficients.

Conj: There is a naturally occurring infinite-dim. graded rep. V^{\sharp} of M whose graded dimension (or character) is the q -expansion of the j -function

$$V^{\sharp} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n^{\sharp}$$

• John Thompson: $j(\tau) \stackrel{-744}{=} q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim(V_n^{\sharp}) q^n = q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_{V_n^{\sharp}}(\text{id}) q^n$

↳ graded trace of the identity

Suggestion: Also interesting to look at

$$T_g(q) := q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_{V_n^{\sharp}}(g) q^n \quad \text{for any } g \in M$$

↖ acts on module V_n^{\sharp}

→ McKay-Thompson series

• John Conway, Simon Norton (1979): massive computation

→ evidence that there is an inf.-dim. graded rep. $V^{\sharp} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} V_n^{\sharp}$ of M such that the graded trace $T_g(q)$ of any element is the Fourier expansion of a holomorphic function on \mathbb{H} that is moreover a Hauptmodul.

②
 \Rightarrow That is, for each $g \in M$, there is a group $\Gamma_g \subset \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ under which $T_g(z)$ is invariant, such that the quotient curve $X_g = \mathbb{H}^*/\Gamma_g$ has genus 0 and T_g generates the field of meromorphic functions on this sphere.

\rightarrow Conway-Norton conjecture: ex. of ind. dim. graded sp. of M with graded traces those on their list

• Igor Frenkel, James Lepowsky, Arne Neuman (1988):

constructed a VOA V^g with $\text{Set}(V^g) \cong M$,

$$\text{ch}_{V^g}(z) = q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim(V_n^g) q^n = j(z) \sqrt{-744} = \text{Trid}(z)$$

• Borcherds (1992): Proof of the Conway-Norton conjecture
 (\rightarrow Fields medal 1998)

Generalised Moonshine:

- Conway, Norton (1979): suggest that Moonshine is not limited to the monster M but similar phenomena occur for other large finite groups
- Horisa Queen (1981): computational evidence that one can construct the expansions of many Hauptmoduln from simple combinations of dimensions of sporadic groups (other than M)

(3)

Example: • Baby Monster sporadic group B (second largest of the 26 sporadic groups) has irreps $1, 4371, 96255, \dots$

$$\bullet \frac{\Delta(\tau)}{\Delta(2\tau)} + \frac{\Delta(2\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)} + 24 = q^{-1} + 4372q + 96256q^2 + \dots$$

is a Hauptmodul for $\Gamma_0(2)^+$ (normaliser of $\Gamma_0(2)$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$)

$$\downarrow \Gamma_0(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \right\}$$

• In fact: for Moonshine-like behaviour: need to pass to a central extension 2.B (double cover)

Similar behaviour appears for a triple cover 3.Fi₂₄ of the sporadic group Fi₂₄.

Observation: Both 2.B and 3.Fi₂₄ are centralisers of elements of the Monster.

Conjecture (Norton 1987, revised 2001)

There exists a rule that assigns to each element g of the Monster simple group M a graded projective representation

$V(g) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} V(g)_n$ of the centraliser $C_M(g)$, and to each

pair (g, h) of commuting elements of M a holomorphic function

$Z(g, h, \tau)$ on \mathbb{H} satisfying the following conditions:

(1) There is some lift \tilde{h} of h to a linear transformation on $V(g)$ ④

such that

$$Z(g, h, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} \text{tr}_{V(g)_n}(\tilde{h}) \tau^{n-1}$$

(2) $Z(g, h, \tau)$ is invariant (up to constant multiplication) under simultaneous conjugation of the pair (g, h) in M .

(3) $Z(g, h, \tau)$ is either constant or a Hauptmodul for some genus 0 congruence group

(4) For any $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $Z(g^a h^c, g^b h^d, \tau)$ is proportional to $Z(g, h, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d})$.
The term $Z(g, h, \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d})$ is labeled as $“(g, h) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}”$.
A bracket on the right indicates $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ mod. invariance.

(5) $Z(g, h, \tau) = j(\tau) - 744 \iff g = h = 1 \in M$

(Obtain monstrous moonshine for $g=1$.)

• In 2003 Gerald Höhn resolved the Hauptmodul claim for the case where g is an element in the conjugacy class $2A$ in M .

Moreover, he gave a general strategy for proving that generalised moonshine trace functions are Hauptmoduln.

• In 2015, Scott Carnahan finally proved the Gen. Moonshine Conj. following Höhn's approach.

One important ingredient is a result by von Echen, M., Scheit-
hauer (see below)

Idea of the proof: (mainly the Hauptmodul claim)

• Consider the Monster VOA V^\sharp (of $c=24$)

↳ it is holomorphic, i.e. has only one irr. mod. up to iso,
namely V^\sharp itself

↳ [DL400]: V^\sharp has only one g -twisted module for
each $g \in \text{Aut}(V^\sharp) \cong M$

Dong, Li,
Mason

↳ call this module $V^\sharp(g)$

and $C_M(g)$ acts projectively on $V^\sharp(g)$

graded in $S(V^\sharp(g)) + \frac{\mathbb{Z}_{20}}{n}$

say: via ϕ

This is true for any "nice" holomorphic VOA V and not just for V^\sharp . Indeed, (1), (2), (4), (5) are general claims about "nice" hol. VOAs and don't have much to do with the monster. Claim (3) has a more exceptional, moonshine-like quality

• Consider the trace functions

$$T(v, g, h, \tau) := q^{-1} \sum_{R=0}^{\infty} \text{tr}_{(V^\sharp(g))_{S+\frac{R}{n}}} \circ(v) \phi(h) q^{S+\frac{R}{n}}$$

$$= q^{-\left(\frac{c}{24}\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{\sharp}(g) \circ (v) \phi(h) q^{L_0} \leftarrow \text{grading operator}$$

(since $c=24$)

⑥

(Twisted one-point correlation functions)

• Special case: $v = |0\rangle \rightarrow \circ(v) = \text{id}$

$$Z(g, h, \tau) := T(|0\rangle, g, h, \tau) = q^{-\frac{c}{24}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V^{\sharp}(g) \phi(h) q^{L_0}$$

Claims (1), (2), (4), (5) were shown in [PLM00].
 (But for (4) one needs a result from [Cornahar-Miyamoto-16])

Def. of rule: choose $V(g)$ as $V^{\sharp}(g)$ and $Z(g, h, \tau)$ as $T(|0\rangle, g, h, \tau)$

It remains to prove the Hauptmodul claim:

- Case 1: If $g^a h^c$ is Fricke for some a, c with $(a, c) = 1$, then $Z(g^a h^c, g^b h^d, \tau)$ is a Hauptmodul [! Claim !] for all b, d s.t. $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence $Z(g, h, \tau)$ is prop. to a mod. transform of $Z(g^a h^c, g^b h^d, \tau)$ and hence a Hauptmodul
- Case 2: If $g^a h^c$ is non-Fricke for all $(a, c) = 1$, then it is easy to see that the tw. modules $V^{\sharp}(g^a h^c)$ has L_0 -spectrum ≥ 1 and hence $Z(g^a h^c, g^b h^d, \tau)$ is regular at cusp ∞ for all

$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and hence by mod. inv. $\zeta(g, h, \tau)$ regular at all cusps (7)
 \Rightarrow hence $\zeta(g, h, \tau)$ is constant.

Recall: Def. of Fricke. An element $g \in M$ is called Fricke if its McKay-Thompson series $T_g(\tau)$ is proportional to $T_g(-\frac{1}{N\tau})$ for some N . (Only depends on conjugacy class)

It remains to show: g Fricke element in the Monster, $h \in C_n(g)$.

Then $\zeta(g, h, \tau)$ is a Hauptmodul

\Rightarrow This is proved by Cornaham

Idea of proof

• Monster VOA $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$, $g \in \text{Aut}(V^{\mathfrak{h}}) \cong M$ Fricke element
 $n = \text{ord}(g)$.

If subVOA $(V^{\mathfrak{h}})^g$ has n^2 irred. modules with group-like fusion

and some fusion group $F_u \leftarrow$ some central extension $\mathbb{Z}_n \cdot \mathbb{Z}_n$

$$\rightsquigarrow (V^{\mathfrak{h}})^g(\alpha) \boxtimes (V^{\mathfrak{h}})^g(\beta) \cong (V^{\mathfrak{h}})^g(\alpha + \beta) \quad \text{for } \alpha, \beta \in F_u$$

The direct sum $\bigoplus_{\gamma \in F_u} (V^{\mathfrak{h}})^g(\gamma)$ is an AIA with f.g.s. F_u
sum of all n^2 irred. unbraced $(V^{\mathfrak{h}})^g$ -modules

$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} V^{\mathfrak{h}}(g^i)$ - sum of all n irred. g^i -tw. $V^{\mathfrak{h}}$ -modules

\hookrightarrow All this one results in [van Eibsen, M., Schürmann]

• Consider a lattice L of genus $\Pi_{1,1}(\overline{F}_u)$ i.e. $F_u \cong \frac{\mathbb{H}}{L}$ and the corresponding lattice AIA

$$\oplus_{\gamma+L \in L'/L} V_{\gamma+L}$$

• Define the graded tensor product

$$M := \oplus_{\gamma+L \in L'/L} (\mathbb{V}^{\#})^{\otimes g}(z(\gamma+L)) \otimes V_{\gamma+L}$$

("diagonal sum"), which is a weak VOA of central charge 26

• Quantisation: Apply BRST quantisation functor

$$M \xrightarrow{\text{BRST}} \mathcal{M}_g = H^1_{\text{BRST}}(M)$$

rank 2, infinite-dim. Lie alg., equipped with a canonical proj. action of $C_n(g)$ by automorphisms

• Generate a Lie algebra L_g (a BKMA) whose denominator identity is some automorphic product. This Lie algebra has a nice, well-understood structure

• Show that $\mathcal{M}_g \cong L_g \Rightarrow$ get Lie alg. with group action + nice shape

• Hauptmoduln conclusion: Use the twisted denominator identity to produce recursion relations on the characters that are strong enough to conclude that the characters are Hauptmoduln.